

REVIEW



http://intl.elsevierhealth.com/journals/ijid

Re-emergence of tularemia in Turkey

Halis Akalın^{*}, Safiye Helvacı, Suna Gedikoğlu

Department of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Medical Faculty, Uludağ University, 16059 Görükle-Bursa, Turkey

Received 4 June 2008; received in revised form 19 September 2008; accepted 28 September 2008 **Corresponding Editor:** William Cameron, Ottawa, Canada

KEYWORDS

Tularemia; Epidemiology; Oropharyngeal tularemia **Summary** Four tularemia epidemics were reported from three different regions of Turkey between 1936 and 1953. After a long interval, a new tularemia epidemic was reported from the area around Bursa in the northwestern part of Turkey in 1988. Following this first epidemic in Bursa, small epidemics occurred in areas around Bursa between 1988 and 2002. Other tularemia epidemics in different regions of Turkey were reported between 1988 and 2005. Almost all of the cases involved the oropharyngeal form of the disease. However, ulceroglandular and oculoglandular forms were detected in the Bursa epidemics; all of the ulceroglandular cases had dermatitis on their hands. To date, 1300 cases have been serologically confirmed. We reviewed one of the biggest tularemia epidemics in Europe.

© 2008 International Society for Infectious Diseases. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Tularemia is a zoonotic disease caused by the Gram-negative coccobacillus *Francisella tularensis*, which was first isolated from rodents in 1911 in Tulare County, California, USA. Tularemia has been found in more than 250 animal species. *F. tularensis* strains have been identified as belonging to several subspecies including *tularensis* (also known as type A or subspecies *nearctica*), *palaearctica* (also known as type B or subspecies *holarctica*), *mediasiatica*, and *novicida*. This classification has been done principally on the basis of virulence, citrulline ureidase activity, and acid production from glycerol. Subspecies *holarctica* is now the more widely used terminology in place of subspecies *palaearctica*. Type A is reported to have a terrestrial cycle with the main reservoirs being cottontail rabbits (*Sylvilagus spp*) and ticks. Type B is reported to have a mainly water-borne cycle with aquatic rodents as reservoirs.

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* halis@uludag.edu.tr (H. Akalın). These rodents include muskrats (*Ondatra zibethicus*) and beavers (*Castor canadensis*) in North America, and ground voles (*Arvicola terrestris*) in the former Soviet Union. However, it is not clear whether these animal species are the true reservoir of the bacterium in the environment. Type A is considered more virulent than type B for humans.^{1–3}

F. tularensis is transmitted to humans through various modes, including direct handling of infected animals, ingestion of contaminated food or water, arthropod bites, or inhalation of infectious dusts or aerosols. There is evidence that the bacteria can persist in watercourses, possibly in association with amoebae. Type A is usually transmitted to humans by tick bites or contact with rabbits; type B is associated with water and animals living near water.^{4–7}

Tularemia has several clinical forms in humans, including ulceroglandular, glandular, pneumonic, oropharyngeal, oculoglandular, and systemic (typhoidal). The clinical forms mostly depend on the port of entry into humans. Ingestion typically results in the oropharyngeal form and is associated with symptoms such as fever, pharyngitis, cervical lymphadenitis, and suppuration.⁸

1201-9712/\$36.00 © 2008 International Society for Infectious Diseases. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2008.09.020

A wide range of arthropod vectors have been implicated in the transmission of tularemia between mammalian hosts. In the USA, biting flies are the most common vectors in Utah, Nevada, and California, while ticks are the most important vectors east of the Rocky Mountains. In the former Soviet Union, F. tularensis is transmitted by both mosquitoes (Aedes, Culex, and Anopheles species) and the Ixodes species of tick.¹

Ohara et al. reported an increase in arthropod-borne tularemia between 1972 and 1998 in Japan, although no arthropod-borne cases were reported before 1951. They also reported that the occurrence of disease caused by contact with diseased hares was biphasic, with the higher peak occurring during the winter, whereas the occurrence of arthropod-borne tularemia was found to be common from spring to autumn.9

In central Europe, Dermacentor reticulatus and Ixodes ricinus ticks are important vectors for F. tularensis.^{10,11}

Two epidemics, which included mostly ulceroglandular forms, were reported from Spain between 1997 and 1998. The main transmission route in the epidemics was found to be crayfish fishing in a contaminated freshwater stream and direct contact with infected hares. F. tularensis type B was the responsible bacterium.^{12,13}

A widespread outbreak of tularemia in Sweden was reported in 2000. The outbreak was investigated in a case-control study, and also clinical comparisons were made between endemic areas and outbreak areas. Mosquito bites were found to be the main risk factor, and swollen lymph nodes and wound infections were found to be more common in the outbreak area, while pneumonia was more common in the disease-endemic area.²

Forty-nine cases with oropharyngeal tularemia associated with the consumption of water from an unchlorinated water system were reported from Italy in 1982.¹⁴

Three hundred twenty-seven patients with tularemia confirmed by serology in Kosovo in 2000 were investigated, and the results of a case-control study were found to be consistent with the idea that the tularemia outbreak was foodborne. This was based on associations of illness with large numbers of rodents in the peridomestic environment, rodent contamination of food storage and preparation areas, and the eating of some uncooked foods.¹¹

A total of 262 laboratory-confirmed tularemia cases were reported from Bulgaria between 1998 and 2003. The majority of the patients had the oropharyngeal form.¹⁶

In the present study, the Turkish and English literature regarding the epidemiology of tularemia in Turkey were retrospectively reviewed. In addition, results of the Turkish Tularemia Reference Laboratory were analyzed.

Tularemia in Turkey

Four tularemia epidemics were reported from three different regions of Turkey between 1936 and 1953.¹⁷⁻²⁰ After a long interval, a new tularemia epidemic was reported from the area around Bursa (Bursa epidemic), which is in the northwestern part of Turkey.²¹⁻²³ Following this first Bursa epidemic, small epidemics occurred in different parts of the area surrounding Bursa between 1988 and 2002; during the same period (1988–2005), further tularemia epidemics were reported from different regions of Turkey.^{24–30}

The characteristics of the tularemia epidemics reported from Turkey are shown in Table 1.^{17–25,28–34} In addition, cases from serologically confirmed but unpublished epidemics from different regions were provided by Professor Suna Gedikoğlu for this retrospective analysis.³⁵ To date, 1300 cases have been serologically confirmed. The microagglutination method was used for serological diagnosis. The antigen used in serological tests was prepared with intraperitoneal inoculation of the first F. tularensis strain that was isolated from a lymph node aspirate, into guinea pig and rats. The test antigen was compared with a standard antigen (Difco code No. 2251-2256), which has been found to be suitable for testing sera for antibodies by microagglutination.²⁴ Antibody titers >1:160 or a four-fold or greater titer change were accepted as positive for serological diagnosis. All of the regions that had a tularemic focus according to serological confirmation or reported epidemics are shown in Figure 1.

Year	Region	No. of cases	Transmission	Clinical form ^a	Mortality	Ref
1936	Lüleburgaz Kırklareli Tekirdağ	150	Water-borne	Oropharyngeal	1	17
1938	Van	6	Food	Oropharyngeal	0	18
1945	Lüleburgaz	18	Water-borne	Oropharyngeal	0	19
1953	Antalya	200	Water-borne	Oropharyngeal	0	20
1988-2002	Bursa	205	Water-borne	Oropharyngeal	0	21
2000	Ayaş, Ankara	16	Water-borne	Oropharyngeal	0	25
2004–2005	Zonguldak Bartın Kastamonu	61	Water-borne	Oropharyngeal	0	29
2004-2005	Kocaeli	145	Water-borne	Oropharyngeal	0	30
2004	Samsun	43	Water-borne	Oropharyngeal-glandular	0	31
2005	Edirne	10	Water-borne	Oropharyngeal	0	32
2004-2005	Kars	56	Water-borne	Oropharyngeal	0	33
2005	Gölcük, Kocaeli	5	Water-borne	Oropharyngeal	0	34

Table 1	Characteristics of	the	tularemia	enidemics	reported	in	Turkey
	Characteristics of	uie	lularenna	epideillics	reporteu		IUINEY



Figure 1 Regions having a tularemic focus according to serological confirmation or reported epidemics from Turkey (number of patients).

There was no isolation of *F. tularensis* from the suspected water in these epidemics despite intensive efforts. All age groups, males and females were equally affected during these epidemics. All of the cases appeared in the autumn or winter.^{24,25,28}

Almost all of the reported cases involved the oropharyngeal form. However, ulceroglandular and oculoglandular forms were also detected in the Bursa epidemics; all of these ulceroglandular cases had dermatitis on their hands.

We believe that all of the tularemia epidemics that occurred in Turkey were water-borne. During the epidemic, three water samples from different epidemic areas were positive by PCR assay.^{31,32,36} The findings that suggest water-borne epidemics are shown in Table 2. On the other hand, *F. tularensis* was not isolated from the water. This might have been due to delays in taking water samples for cultures (assuming the bacteria can persist in water over a prolonged period) because of the late presentation of symptoms in affected patients.^{6,37}

Table 2	Findings	suggestive of	water-borne	epidemics
---------	----------	---------------	-------------	-----------

Only one mortality caused by tularemia (indirectly suggests type B and water-borne epidemics)

Almost all of the cases are of the oropharyngeal form;

presence of oculoglandular form

The lack of the ulceroglandular form (except in those patients who had dermatitis previously)

Limitation of the epidemics depends on the use of certain aqueducts in the same area

The lack of chlorination of the water system in some epidemic areas

PCR positivity for F. tularensis in water from epidemic areas

In our opinion, the water was contaminated by infected rodents and hares. Unfortunately, we did not test this hypothesis until recently. However, there is some evidence supporting this hypothesis. Gürcan et al. reported that Turkish isolates contained the holarctica subspecies.³⁸ In Europe, tularemia is most frequently found in hares (Lepus spp), although hares probably do not constitute a reservoir for the disease.² In the Kosovo epidemic, the lipopolysaccharide (LPS) antigen of F. tularensis was found to be positive in the liver tissue of Apodemus agrarius (field mice) recovered from a well in the village where the index case of the epidemic was reported. In addition, authors reported that fecal specimens collected from A. agrarius and Rattus rattus (black rats) were positive for the F. tularensis antigen.¹⁵ The presence of lagomorphs such as Lepus europaeus Palas and rodents such as Arvicola terrestris, Microtus arvalis, and A. agrarius in Turkey, support our hypothesis.³⁹ An increase of voles before the epidemic occurred in the area around the Bursa epidemic during 1988 (reported by rural villagers), also supporting our hypothesis.

The tularemia epidemics in Turkey do not seem to have been tick-borne, although there are several reports that suggest the presence of *lxodes ricinus* in Turkey. The presence of a few patients with the ulceroglandular form (most of them had dermatitis before the epidemics) and geographical differences between the epidemics and the regional distribution of *lxodes ricinus* ticks (according to published reports) indirectly support the same hypothesis.^{40–43}

Tularemia epidemics occurred in different regions of Turkey between 1988 and 2005. It is not clear whether these epidemics were due to the spreading of bacteria or to exacerbation of old tularemic foci. The widespread geographic distribution of *F. tularensis* might be explained by an occasional transport of infectious immature ixodid ticks parasitizing migratory birds, although the evidence (i.e., direct detection of the agents in the ticks attached to migrating birds) is still lacking. Surprisingly, fleas can also be transported over long distances on migrating birds. In water-borne infections, the agent can be shed by infectious migrating birds, resulting in contamination of water with feces, nasal discharges, and respiratory exudates.⁴⁴

Two questions remain to be answered about the epidemiology of tularemia in Turkey. The first question is "What is the epidemiology of *F. tularensis* in rodents, hares, ticks, and fleas in Turkey?" We need environmental studies and a focus on the ecology of *F. tularensis* in epidemic areas. The second question is "Why were there no tularemia cases between 1953 and 1988, and why did the disease re-emerge in 1988?" Re-emergence of tularemia might have been due to ecological changes.^{45–47} We know that climate conditions have changed significantly over the last 50 years in Turkey.⁴⁸ We believe that these questions should be answered by further studies.

Tularemia should be considered in the differential diagnosis of patients with fever, pharyngitis or tonsillitis and/or cervical lymphadenopathy, and who have not responded to beta-lactam antibiotics. We believe that passive surveillance is sufficient to detect new case clusters and outbreaks. For prevention of new outbreaks, national and local health authorities should be aware that tularemia may be disseminated to other regions of the country and they should check sources of all drinking water for adequate sanitation.

Acknowledgments

We thank Mrs Gülten Topçu for drawing the map of Turkey (Figure 1).

Conflict of interest: No conflict of interest to declare.

References

- Ellis J, Oyston PC, Gren M, Titball RW. Tularemia. Clin Microbiol Rev 2002;15:631–46.
- 2. Morner T. The ecology of tularemia. *Rev Sci Tech* 1992; 11:1123–30.
- National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Taxonomy. Francisella tularensis. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Browser/wwwtax.cgi?id=263 (accessed November 2008).
- Pearson AP. Tularemia. In: Palmer SR, Lord Soulsby, Simpson DI, editors. Zoonoses: biology, clinical practice, and public health control. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press; 1998. p. 267–80.
- Hopla CE. The ecology of tularemia. Adv Vet Sci Comp Med 1974;18:25–53.
- Berdal BP, Mehl R, Meidell NK, Lorentzen-Styr AM, Scheel O. Field investigations of tularemia in Norway. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* 1996;13:191–5.
- Eliasson H, Lindback J, Nuorti JP, Arneborn M, Giesecke J, Tegneli A. The 2000 tularemia outbreak: a case—control study of risk factors in disease-endemic and emergent areas, Sweden. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2002;9:956–60.
- Penn RL. Francisella tularensis (tularemia). In: Wintrobe MM, Thorn GW, Adams RD, Benett IL, Braunwald E, Isselbacher KJ, et al. editors. Harrison's principles of internal medicine. New York: McGraw-Hill; 1994. p. 2060–7.
- Ohara Y, Sato T, Homma M. Arthropod-borne tularemia in Japan: clinical analysis of 1374 cases observed between 1924–1996. J Med Entomol 1998;35:471–3.
- Hubalek Z, Treml F, Halouzka J, Juricova Z, Hunady M, Janik V. Frequent isolation of Francisella tularensis from Dermacentor

reticulatus ticks in an enzootic focus of tularemia. *Med Vet Entomol* 1996;**10**:241–6.

- Hubalek Z, Sixl W, Halouzka J. Francisella tularensis in Dermacentor reticulatus ticks from the Czech Republic and Austria. Wien Klin Wochenschr 1998;110:909–10.
- Anda P, Segura del Pozo J, Díaz García JM, Escudero R, García Peña FJ, López Velasco MC, et al. Waterborne outbreak of tularemia associated with crayfish fishing. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2001;7(Suppl 3):575–82.
- Perez-Castrillon JL, Bachiller-Luque P, Martin-Luquero M, Mena-Martin FJ, Herreros V. Tularemia epidemic in northwestern Spain: clinical description and therapeutic response. *Clin Infect Dis* 2001;**33**:573–6.
- 14. Greco D, Allegrini G, Tizi T, Ninu E, Lamanna A, Luzi S. A waterborne tularemia outbreak. *Eur J Epidemiol* 1987;3:35–8.
- Reintjes R, Dedushaj I, Gjini A, Jorgensen TR, Cotter B, Lieftucht A, et al. Tularemia outbreak investigation in Kosovo: case control and environmental studies. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2002;8: 69–73.
- Christova I, Velinov T, Kantardjiev T, Galev A. Tularemia outbreak in Bulgaria. Scand J Infect Dis 2004;36:785–9.
- Gotschlich E, Berkin T. 1936 yılında Trakya'da Tülaremiye ait yapılan epidemiyolojik ve bakteriyolojik araştırmalar. *Türk Hij Tec Biol Der* 1938;1:115–23.
- 18. Dirik K. Van Gölü Havzasında Tularemi. *Türk Hij Tec Biol Der* 1939;**2**:193–4.
- 19. Golem SB. Lüleburgaz'da yeni bir Tularemi epidemisi. *Türk Hij Tec Biol Der* 1945;5:28–40.
- 20. Utku IF. Antalya'da Tularemi epidemisi ve hususiyetleri. *Türk Hij Tec Biol Der* 1954;14:288–91.
- Kılıçturgay K, Gökırmak F, Gedikoğlu S, Helvacı S, Töre O, Tolunay Ş.. Bursa'da Tularemi epidemisi. *Turkish J Infect* 1989;3:149–56.
- 22. Gedikoğlu S, Göral G, Helvacı S. Bursa'daki Tularemi epidemisinin özellikleri. *Turkish J Infect* 1990;4:9–15.
- 23. Gedikoğlu S. Francisella tularensis isolation from various clinical specimens. Clin Microbiol Infect 1996;2:233–5.
- Helvaci S, Gedikoğlu S, Akalın H, Oral B. Tularemia in Bursa, Turkey: 205 cases in ten years. Eur J Epidemiol 2000;16: 271–6.
- Erbay A, Dokuzoğuz B, Baykam N, Güvener E, Diker S, Yıldırmak T. Ankara yöresinde Tularemi. *Turkish J Infect* 2000;14:453–8.
- 26. Şencan İ., Kaya D, Öksüz Ş.. Salmonelloz ön tanısı ile izlenen bir tifoidal tularemi olgusu. *KLİMİK Dergisi* 2000;**13**:113–6.
- Özyürek H, Şencan İ., Öksüz Ş., Kaya D, Kocabay K. Orofaringeal Tularemili bir olgu sunumu. *Türk Pediatri Arşivi* 2001;36:94–6.
- Gürcan Ş., Tatman-Otkun M, Oktun M, Arıkan OK, Özer B. An outbreak of tularemia in western Black Sea region of Turkey. *Yonsei Med J* 2004;45:17–22.
- Celebi G, Baruönü F, Ayoğlu F, Cinar F, Karadenizli A, Uğur MB, et al. Tularemia, a reemerging disease in northwest Turkey: epidemiological investigation and evaluation of treatment responses. Jpn J Infect Dis 2006;59:229–34.
- Meric M, Willke A, Finke EJ, Grunow R, Sayan M, Erdogan S, et al. Evaluation of clinical, laboratory, and therapeutic features of 145 tularemia cases: the role of quinolones in oropharyngeal tularemia. *APMIS* 2008;116:66–73.
- Leblebicioglu H, Esen S, Turan D, Tanyeri Y, Karadenizli A, Ziyagil F, et al. Outbreak of tularemia: a case-control study and environmental investigation in Turkey. *Int J Infect Dis* 2008; 12:265–9.
- Gürcan S, Eskiocak M, Varol G, Uzun C, Tatman-Otkun M, Sakru N, et al. Tularemia re-emerging in European part of Turkey after 60 years. Jpn J Infect Dis 2006;59:391–3.
- 33. Şahin M, Atabay Hİ. Bıçakçı Z, Ünver A, Otlu S. Outbreaks of tularemia in Turkey. *Kobe J Med Sci* 2007;**53**:37–42.
- Karadenizli A, Gürcan Ş., Kolaylı F, Vahaboğlu H. Outbreak of tularaemia in Golcuk, Turkey in 2005: report of 5 cases and an

- 35. Gedikoğlu S. Tularemia cases confirmed serologically in the Department of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases in School of Medicine of Uludağ University. Tularemia Reference Laboratory (oral communication); 2006.
- Ozdemir D, Sencan I, Annakkaya AN, Karadenizli A, Guclu E, Sert E, et al. Comparison of the 2000 and 2005 outbreaks of tularemia in the Duzce region of Turkey. Jpn J Infect Dis 2007;60:51–2.
- Forsman M, Henningson EW, Larsson E, Johansson T, Sandstrom G. Francisella tularensis does not manifest virulence in viable but not culturable state. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2000; 31:217–24.
- Gürcan Ş., Karabay O, Karadenizli A, Karagöl Ç., Kantardjiev T, Ivanov IN. Characteristics of the Turkish isolates of *Francisella tularensis*. Jpn J Infect Dis 2008;61:223–5.
- 39. The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey Türkiye'de Tür Listeleri; 2006. Available at: http://www.tubitak. gov.tr (accessed November 2008).
- 40. Aydın L. Güney Marmara ruminantlarında görülen kene türleri ve yayılışları. *Turkish J Parasitol* 2000;**24**:194–200.

- Yukarı BA, Umur Ş.. Burdur yöresindeki sığır, koyun ve keçilerde kene (*Ixodidea*) türlerinin yayılışları. *Turkish J Vet Anim Sci* 2002;**26**:1263–70.
- Arslan ÖM. Umur Ş., Aydın L. Kars yöresi sığırlarında *Ixodidea* türlerinin yaygınlığı. *Turkish J Parasitol* 1999;23:331–5.
- Çalışır B, Polat E, Yücel A. Silivri ilçesinin bazı bölgelerindeki bir kısım evcil hayvanlardan toplanan kenelerin tür ayrımının yapılması ve *Ixodes ricinus*'larda *Borrelia burgdorferi*'nin araştırılması. *Turkish J Parasitol* 1997;21:379–82.
- Hubalek Z. An annotated checklist of pathogenic microorganisms associated with migratory birds. J Wildlife Dis 2004;40:639–59.
- 45. Mouchet J, Carnevale P. Impact of changes in the environment on vector-transmitted diseases. *Sante* 1997;7:263–9.
- Amat-Roze JM. Climatic changes and transmissible diseases. Med Trop (Mars) 1998;58(Suppl 2):42–7.
- McMichael AJ, Patz J, Kovats RS. Impacts of global environmental change on future health and health care in tropical countries. Br Med Bull 1998;54:475–88.
- Apak G, Ubay B. First National Communication of Turkey on Climate Change; 2007. Available at: http://www.dmi.gov.tr/ FILES/iklim/ulusalbildirimtr.pdf.